

JPW



Docket: T3497-8776US01

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of	:
Joseph P. ZANOVITCH et al.	: Examiner:
Serial No.: 10/804,088	: Group Art Unit: 2632
Filed: March 19, 2004	:
For: Threat Scanning Machine Management System	: McLean, Virginia

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir:

In accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.56 and 37 C.F.R. 1.97-1.99, it is requested that the references listed on the attached Form PTO-1449 be made of record in the above-identified application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to Deposit Account No. 50-1165 any fees which may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment to that Account.

Respectfully submitted,  
MILES & STOCKBRIDGE P.C.

Date: June 23, 2004

By:   
James T. Carmichael  
Reg. No. 45,306

1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 500  
McLean, Virginia 22102-3833  
Telephone: (703) 610-8648  
#9209148v2

Substitute for form 1449B/PTO

*(use as many sheets as necessary)*

Sheet 1 of 1

<b>Application Number</b>	10/804,088
<b>Filing Date</b>	March 19, 2004
<b>First Named Inventor</b>	Joseph P. ZANOVITCH et al.
<b>Art Unit</b>	2632
<b>Examiner Name</b>	
<b>Attorney Docket Number</b>	T3497-8776US01

[illegible]Date  
Considered

<sup>1</sup> Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). <sup>2</sup> Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 120 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

*If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.*



## AFFIDAVIT OF JOSEPH P. ZANOVITCH

1. My name is Joseph P. Zanovitch.
2. I am employed by Lockheed Martin Corp., at the Lockheed Martin Systems Integration – Owego facility in Owego, NY; and have been employed there since August 1998.
3. I am an inventor named in U.S. Patent Application No. 10/804,088 filed on March 19, 2004.
4. A presentation was made to the Transportation Security Agency (TSA), on or about February 26, 2003 that described a high level view of a Threat Scanning Machine Management System concept. The presentation was submitted under a nondisclosure agreement. A copy of the presentation is attached hereto and labeled as Appendix A.
5. The February 26, 2003 presentation proposed to discuss a research and development partnership between Lockheed Martin, Analogic, QRSciences, and the TSA. Analogic and QRSciences are businesses in the detection equipment industry that are interested in potentially partnering with Lockheed to further develop the Threat Scanning Machine Management System invention. The proposal outlined a rough order of magnitude figure of \$17.2 million dollars of investment by the TSA in order to research and develop the system to the point of commercial feasibility. This was not a price proposal that could be accepted by TSA for delivery of any goods or services, but merely a talking point for

- discussing a potential investment in an experimental research and development venture.
6. The research and development proposal would give the TSA limited ownership of the system and development work products, while requiring testing and qualification of the system by the TSA. The TSA would periodically review the progress of the system development and performance, and provide Lockheed Martin with feedback in order to improve the system. If the system were ultimately certified by the TSA, then production would begin for units to be purchased by the TSA and installed into TSA facilities.
  7. Based on feedback from the February 26, 2003 presentation, Lockheed Martin refined the proposal and held a meeting with the TSA on or about March 22, 2003 in which one of the topics of discussion was a high level view of the Threat Scanning Machine Management System invention.
  8. Based on feedback from the March 22, 2003 meeting, Lockheed Martin further refined the proposal and again held a meeting, described as a technical interchange, with the TSA on or about May 5, 2003 that described a high level view of the Threat Scanning Machine Management System invention.
  9. Based on feedback from the May 5, 2003 meeting, Lockheed Martin further refined the proposal and again made a presentation to the TSA on or about May 22, 2003 that described a high level view of the Threat Scanning Machine Management System invention. The presentation was submitted under a nondisclosure agreement. A copy of the presentation is attached hereto and labeled as Appendix B.

10. As of today's date, the TSA has not accepted any of the research and development proposals containing a high level description of the Threat Scanning Machine Management System that were presented.

I hereby swear that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. § 1001, and may jeopardize the validity of any patent to which these statements may pertain.

6/17/04  
Date

  
Joseph P. Zanovitch